Northern District of California

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MICHELLE HINDS, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

FEDEX GROUND PACKAGE SYSTEM, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. <u>18-cv-01431-JSW</u>

ORDER REOUIRING INTIFFS ON FEDEX GROUND CKAGE SYSTEM'S MOTION IN LIMINE NO. 4

Re: Dkt. No. 222

The Court has reviewed FedEx's motion in limine number 4 and Plaintiffs' response. That motion addresses the relevance of terms of Bay Rim's ISP Agreement that require compliance with DOT Regulations. See, e.g., Moreau v. Air France, 365 F.3d 942, 950-51 (9th Cir. 2004). Plaintiffs set forth their position on *Moreau* but have not addressed other cases cited in FedEx's motion. The Court ORDERS Plaintiffs to provide a supplemental brief that addresses: (1) the specific provisions of Bay Rim's ISP Agreement that they intend to rely on at trial; and (2) why those sections are relevant to their case. See, e.g., Johnson v. Serenity Transp., Inc., No. 15cv-02004-JSC, 2017 WL 1365112, at *8 (N.D. Cal. April 14, 2017) ("[C]ourts have applied the Moreau principle 'in a narrow and limited way'—that is, only when the regulation sets forth a particular method of action and the entity complies with that method"). Plaintiffs' supplemental brief shall be due by no later than 1:00 p.m. September 27, 2022. Defendants may provide a reply by no later than 1:00 p.m. on September 30, 2022.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 21, 2022

JEFFREY S United States District Judge